

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/754,147	01/05/2001	· Bas Ording	P2428USX-722	3465	
	7590 12/22/2000 INGERSOLL & ROON		EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			NGUYEN, KIMBINH T		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2628		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR'	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	12/22/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/754,147	ORDING, BAS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kimbinh T. Nguyen	2628	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
Period for Reply.			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR I after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 (October 2006.	,	
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,10-17 and 19-29</u> is/are pend	ling in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.)		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,10-17,19-29</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	Nor		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority under do d.c.c.		•
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		oplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price)
application from the International Burea		_	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	at of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	-	·	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u></u> .	

Art Unit: 2628

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communication filed 10/17/06.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10-17 and 19-29 are pending in the application.

Specification

3. The continuation data of the application No. 09/477,738 needs to be updated with the current status.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 10, 14-17, 19-21, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al (Animation: From Cartoons to the User Interface) in view of Elliott et al. (5,764,241).

Claim 1, Chang teaches a method for moving an object in a graphical user interface, comprising the steps of a) determining a path of movement for the object along at least one axis, and a period of time for the movement along said path (Fig. 8: starting and ending positions; fig.9); b) establishing a non-constant velocity function along said axis for said period of time (page 51: slow-in and slow-out movement with faster movement in the middle; c) calculating an instantaneous position for the object along said path in accordance with said function and the relationship of a current time value to said period of time (fig. 8 and fig. 9); d) displaying said object at said calculated

Art Unit: 2628

position (fig. 8 and fig. 9); and e) iteratively repeating steps (c) and (d) during said period of time (fig. 8 and fig. 9). However, Chang et al does not specifically teach determining a period of time for the movement along the path. This is disclosed in Elliot et al (a periodic function of time. It begins at local time zero as the value zero and then varies, and time scaled to change its duration in animation effect like slow-in and slowout; the data flow graph or a continuous data flow, the sine function, the sinusoidal velocity; col. 11, lines 13-65 and all these features related to a period of time for the movement along the path non-constant velocity function such as slow-in and slow-out along the axis for the period of time). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a periodic function of time taught by Elliott into the method of applying animation to the user interface of Chang for moving objects from one location to another, because it would allow a user to describe behavior that varies in response to discrete events. This feature allows events such as state changes and mouse clicks to be integrated with time-varying values (col. 12, lines 2-9).

Claim 2, Chang teaches a non-linear function for velocity (fig. 8; fig. 9; page 51: slow-in slow-out).

Claim 3, Elliott et al. teaches the function being a sinusoidal function (col. 11, lines 33-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a sine function taught by Elliott into the method of applying animation to the user interface of Chang for moving objects from one location

Art Unit: 2628

to another, because it would create a periodic function of time and also provide more sophisticated model.

Claim 4, Elliott et al. teaches determining the amount of time that has elapsed since the beginning of said period of time, and determining the instantaneous position of the object along said path, calculating the ratio of said elapsed amount of time to the total duration of said period of time, applying said ratio to said function to determine a translation factor; and using the translation factor to determine the instantaneous position of the object along the path (col. 11, lines 23-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a periodic function of time taught by Elliott into the method of applying animation to the user interface of Chang for moving objects from one location to another, because it would allow a user to describe behavior that varies in response to discrete events. This feature allows events such as state changes and mouse clicks to be integrated with time-varying values (col. 12, lines 2-9).

Claim 5, Chang teaches a method for moving an object in a graphical user interface, comprising the steps of identifying a starting location for the object; selecting a final location for the object (fig.8: beginning and final pose; fig.9); displaying said object at sequential positions along a path from said starting location to said final location at increments of time (fig.8; fig.9), such that the distance between successive positions varies so that the object appears to be moving at a changing velocity (fig.8, fig. 9). Chang does not clearly teach a changing velocity; however, Elliott et al teaches a change in the data flow graph, a sinusoidal velocity (a changing velocity) varies over

Art Unit: 2628

time (col. 11, lines 35-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a periodic function of time taught by Elliott into the method of applying animation to the user interface of Chang for moving objects from one location to another, because it would allow a user to describe behavior that varies in response to discrete events. This feature allows events such as state changes and mouse clicks to be integrated with time-varying values (col. 12, lines 2-9).

Claim 7 is similar to claims 3, and hence are rejected with the same rationale.

Claims 8, 10 are similar to claims 5,7, and hence are rejected with the same rationale.

Claim 20 is a user interface claim for a combination of claims 1 and 2, and hence is rejected with the same rationale as claims 1 and 2.

Claim 21 is a user interface claim for claim 3, and hence is rejected with the same rationale.

Claims 14-16, 17, 19, and 25-26 are program medium and system claims for the method claims of 1-3, and 5, 7 respectively, and hence are rejected with the same rationale, as it would have been obvious to have a storage medium to store the program of the method, and a system to execute such programs.

6. Claims 11, 22, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al (Animation: From Cartoons to the User Interface: 19932 ACM 0-89791-628+93/0011), as applied to claims 8, 20, and 25 respectively in view of Elliott et al, and further in view of IBM TDB article ("Window Closing Animations": IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, US, IBM Corp, NY; 1 Nov. 1995., ISSN 0018-8689).

Art Unit: 2628

Claim 11, IBM TDB article teaches user action of minimizing a window, and animations for minimizing a window. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinal skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the animation for minimizing the window in the invention of Chang and Elliott, in order to provide effective feedback on user action.

Claims 22 and 27 are similar to claim 11, and hence are rejected with the same rationale.

7. Claims 12, 13, 23, 24, 28, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al (Animation : From Cartoons to the User Interface : 1993: ACM 0-89791-628-93/0011), as applied to claims 8, 20, and 25 respectively in view of Elliott et al, and further in view of Ellison- Taylor (US 5,796,402).

Claims 12 and 13, Ellison-Taylor teaches a tiling program that aligns the windows based on the relative position and size of the windows when the request is made (col. 3: lines 27-48), thus teaching implicitly the moving of objects in a series toward the space occupied by the removed object when an object is removed, and away from the inserted object when an object is inserted. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill In the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the tiling of Ellison-Taylor in the invention of Chang and Elliott, so that the objects may be displayed in their final positions without overlap, so that all the objects in the display area are visible to the user concurrently.

Claims 23-24, and 28-29 are similar to claims 12-13, and hence are rejected with the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2628

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 10/17/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because in claim 1, Chang teaches the path movement for the object at least one axis; fig. 8 shows that: "slowly move out of beginning pose; during the middle part of the movement and slowly move into final pose": this feature implicit teaches a period of time for the movement along the path using animation effects like slow-in and slow-out; Elliott specific teaches an animation effect like slow-in and slow-out is expressed as a periodic function of time. It begins at a local time zero and then varies in response to discrete events; the sin function is applied to time to create a periodic function (see col. 11, line 13 through col. 12, line 9).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/754,147

Art Unit: 2628

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimbinh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00

AM to 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday from 7:00 AM to

3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached at (571) 272-7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 19, 2006

KIMBINH T. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINED

Kombons Ngrupen